# CONTRIBUTION TO THE OSTRACODA (*CRUSTACEA*) FAUNA OF THE KARSTIC REGION OF TEXAS WITH THE DESCRIPTION OF A NEW SPECIES

Okan Külköylüoğlu<sup>1,C</sup> and Alaettin Tuncer<sup>2</sup>

#### Abstract

A total of 27 ostracod taxa were collected from 1995 to 2017 from 61 aquatic bodies in the karstic region of Texas (USA) and 1 in Mexico, including from caves, springs, spring-fed pools, and springs/drips in karstic rock-shelters. Among these taxa, *Dolerocypris reyesi* sp. nov. is a new species collected from a shallow pool connected to the springs above a rock-shelter. Three species (*Penthesilenula aotearoa, P. incae,* and *Vestalenula marmonieri*) are reported for the first time from North America. In addition, *Typhlocypris* cf. *prespica* and 4 taxa (*Microdarwinula zimmeri, Cypridopsis* cf. *herpestica, Pseudocandona* cf. *parallela, P.* cf. *pratensis*) are new records of ostracod fauna of the US and Texas, respectively. The phylogenetic results based on 31 taxa and 30 morphological characters revealed 4 subgroups belonging to 6 main clustering groups within the family Darwinulidae. Including the new species reported here, the total number of non-marine ostracods in Texas is increased to 115 species. The results indicate that the total number of species is far below the true diversity and richness of the state.

# INTRODUCTION

The members of the class Ostracoda are found in different kinds of aquatic habitats varying from freshwater to marine habitats. Furthermore, they are widely distributed in almost all natural and artificial aquatic systems of the world due to their broad range of tolerance to different environmental conditions, where each species may prefer species-specific conditions (Delorme and Zoltai, 1984; Külköylüoğlu, 2004; Akdemir et al., 2016). In addition to their extensive geographical distribution, ostracods are also one of the most diverse taxonomic groups (Bronshtein, 1947). Along with these characteristics, they are frequently obtained from geological records as fossils since the Ordovician Period (ca. 480 Ma) due to their calcified carapace structure (Siveter, 2008; Williams et al., 2008). Ostracods are good indicator species for determining and understanding palaeoecological conditions and for illustrating possible historical relationships between fossil and recent species (Hoff, 1942; Wise, 1961; Delorme, 1982; Yavuzatmaca et al., 2015). According to Meisch et al. (2019), 2330 subjective non-marine ostracod species in 270 genera are known worldwide. Out of these, more than 442 ostracod species are known from North America (NA) (Meisch et al., 2019; Külköylüoğlu et al., 2021). More than 100 species have already been reported from Texas where different previous works (Külköylüoğlu et al., 2011; Külköylüoğlu et al., 2017a, Külköylüoğlu et al., 2017b, Külköylüoğlu et al., 2017c, Külköylüoğlu et al., 2017d, Külköylüoğlu et al., 2017e; Külköylüoğlu, 2018, Külköylüoğlu, 2019), and ongoing studies have contributed a considerable amount of knowledge on ostracod species diversity and distribution for the last 10 years (Külköylüoğlu et al., 2021). Along with these studies on ostracods, other studies showed that species diversity and richness are much higher than the current knowledge (Holsinger and Longley, 1980; Ponder, 2004; Reddell and Cokendolpher, 2004; Hutchins et al., 2014; Hutchins, 2018; Nissen et al., 2018). Therefore, it is suggested that unique species diversity may be related to the variety of habitats in Texas that require extensive study. The aim of the present study is to propose a new non-marine ostracod species Dolerocypris revesi sp. nov. and to discuss the occurrences of the first records of other species in Texas, the US, and NA.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The specimens examined during the present study (Appendix 1) were collected from karst systems in central Texas (USA) (Fig. 1), with 1 exception from Mexico noted in Appendix 2. Collections were made from April 8, 1995, to February 27, 2017, by James Reddell (University of Texas Insect Collection) and Marcelino Reyes (formerly University of Texas, Texas Memorial Museum), unless otherwise indicated. Samples were collected with a 100% cotton mophead placed in springs, cave streams, and spring-fed pools in rock-shelters, and kept in 70% ethanol in glass vials. Ostracod specimens were separated in the laboratory and stored in 70% ethanol. Samples were coded and numbered with the catalog number of the Texas Memorial Museum, Invertebrate Zoology Collection (now the University of Texas Insect Collection).

A standard procedure was followed during species identification (Külköylüoğlu, 2020). Accordingly, after measurements of the individual species were made, specimens were dissected in lactophenol solution on slides and sealed with nail polish. We used both carapace and soft body parts during identification. Soft body parts were drawn with a

<sup>c</sup> Corresponding author: kulkoyluoglu\_o@ibu.edu.tr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Biology, Faculty of Arts and Science, Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University, Bolu, 14280, Turkey

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Geological Engineering, Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey



Figure 1. Location maps. (A) Map of the United States of America and North America showing the State of Texas. (B) Map of the State of Texas showing the study area (C and arrow). (C) Map of the study area showing the sampling localities of Dolerocypris reyesi sp. nov. (star), Penthesilenula incae and Microdarwinula zimmeri (pentagon), Penthesilenula aotearoa, Microdarwinula. zimmeri (circle), and Vestalenula marmonieri (square).

camera lucida (Olympus U-DA) attached to a microscope (Olympus BX-51) and were digitized with CorelDRAW software. SEM photographs of carapaces and valves were acquired by a Zeiss EVO50 scanning electron microscope at the Department of Geological Engineering, Hacettepe University, Turkey. Terminology from Broodbakker and Danielopol (1982) and Martens (1987), the main taxonomic keys of Rossetti and Martens (1998), Meisch (2000),and Karanovic (2012), and related literature (e.g., Danielopol, 1968; Smith and Kamiya, 2006; Savatenalinton and Suttajit, 2016; and others as noted) were used during species description. The materials listed in the present study, but not

deposited at the Texas Memorial Museum as described below, are stored in glass jars of 70 % ethanol at the Limnology Laboratory of Department of Biology, Bolu Abant İzzet Baysal University, Bolu, Turkey. They are available upon request from corresponding author.

#### **Cluster Analyses**

To illustrate clustering relationships among 36 known taxa of the family Darwinulidae, we used WinClada software version 1.00.08 (https://www.winsite.com/Development/Components-Libraries/WinClada/) and NONA software together. During the analyses, the data matrix in Appendix 5 was prepared for each taxa/species as presence or absence of the characters along with the character states shown in Appendix 4, which were equally weighted by 1. We used a heuristic approach in unconstrained searching with the following conditions: 200 replications, 1 tree to hold per iteration, 3 characters for sampling, 10 random constraint levels, with the "amb-poly" option. This was applied when collapsing a branch if the ancestor and descendant characters had different states under the same resolutions of multistate characters or if character states are unknown (amb), and treating trees are assumed as collapsed (poly). Along with the data used, tree length, computation of consistency, and retention value were also recorded. In addition, as indicated in the WinClada software, the method of branch-swapping (tree bisection and reconnection, TBR + TBR) was applied to search the trees. In addition to the reasons listed in previous studies (e.g., Martens et al., 2005; Rossetti et al., 2011), the genus Darwinula was chosen as an outgroup because (1) it is the type genus, and (2) it is the most ancient form within the family (Martens et al., 1997; Yavuzatmaca and Külköylüoğlu, 2019) with unique diagnostic characters. We used 30 morphological characters based on those previously proposed by Martens et al. (2005) and Rossetti et al. (2011), and other related references were also used for some species (e.g., Smith and Kamiya, 2006; Artheau, 2007; Smith and Janz, 2009). We ran the analyses with 31 taxa after excluding some taxa/species due to lack of morphological information about them. In the meantime, species described recently and/or not used in those previous studies were added into the analyses, as detailed in the discussion section.

#### Abbreviations

A1, first antenna; A2, second antenna;

- db, dorsal branch of uropodal attachment;
- H, height of valves; L, length of valves; Is, lateral shield; LV, left valve; Md, mandibula; ms, medial shield; Mxl, maxillula; Ro, Rome organ; RV, right valve; T1, first thoracopod; T2, second thoracopod; T3, third thoracopod; Ur, uropod; vb, ventral branch; and W, width of carapace.



Figure 2. *Dolerocypris reyesi* sp. nov. *Arrows* point to anterior. (*A*) RV external view of female. (*B*) LV external view of male (note the damaged antero-ventral margin). (*C*) LV internal view of male. (*D*) RV internal view of male. (*E*) LV internal view of female. (*F*) RV internal view of female. (*G*) Dorsal view of male. (*H*) Anterior margin, dorsal view of male. (*I*) Posterior margin, dorsal view of male. (*J*) Pore openings with setae of male. (*K*) Muscle scars of male. (*L*) RV external view of female. (*M*) RV external view of female. Note 2 "striations" on the valve surface in Fig. 2J. The scale bar represents 225µm for Fig. 2A–F and L–M and 60µm for Fig. 2H–K.

# RESULTS

A total of 27 non-marine ostracod taxa were identified from a diversity of karstic aquatic habitats at 61 sites in central Texas and 1 in Mexico (Appendices 1 and 2). Dolerocypris revesi sp. nov. is proposed as a new species (Figs. 2-5, Table 1). Three species (Penthesilenula aotearoa, P. incae. Vestalenula marmonieri) are newly reported for the ostracod fauna of NA (Appendix 3), 1 species (Typhlocypris cf. prespica) is newly reported for the ostracod fauna of the US, and 4 other taxa (Microdarwinula zimmeri, Cypridopsis cf. herpestica, Pseudocandona cf. parallela, P. cf. pratensis) are newly reported for the ostracod fauna of Texas. Moreover, Physocypria exquisite was reported from an additional sample collected from Mexico. Reporting these species from Texas expands their geographical distributions to NA. With these new reports. the number of non-marine ostracods in Texas has now reached 115 species. Overall, our most recent findings continue to suggest that the true species diversity and richness of Texas are underestimated and deserve future studies.

#### **Systematics**

Class Ostracoda Latreille, 1802 Subclass Podocopa Sars, 1866 Order Podocopida Sars, 1866 Suborder Cypridocopina Baird, 1845



Figure 3. *Dolerocypris reyesi* sp. nov. (*A*), A1 of male. (*B*) A2 of male. (*C*) Detail of female A2. (*D*) Md of male. The scale bar represents 100  $\mu$ m for Fig. 3A, B, and D and 50  $\mu$ m for Fig. 3C.

Superfamily Cypridoidea Baird, 1845 Family Cyprididae Baird, 1845

Subfamily Dolerocypridinae Triebel, 1961

Genus *Dolerocypris* Kaufmann, 1900 **Diagnosis (Genus).** Carapace elongated (L > 2H), compressed in dorsal view. RV > LV in lateral view. Calcified inner lamella wide in both margins. Selvage on RV peripheral, inwardly placed in LV, or rarely the opposite. Terminal palp on Mxl short, cylindrical. Basal segment on T2 with d1 and d2 setae. Ur well developed; posterior seta displaced close to posterior terminal claw. Ur attachment simple (Meisch, 2000).

Dolerocypris reyesi sp. nov.

Figs. 2–5

**Etymology.** The species is named after Marcelino Reyes for his kind help with sampling and his contribution to the knowledge of the cave and spring invertebrates of Texas.

**Holotype.** One male dissected in lactophenol solution with soft body parts (slide no. OK-TX-JR-1) sealed with translucent nail polish and valve (slide no. OK-TX-JR-2). Collected from the type locality on March 16, 2010, by James Reddell and Marcelino Reyes. Deposited at Texas Memorial Museum, Invertebrate Zoology Collection, Catalog no. 72.550.

**Allotype.** One female dissected in lactophenol solution with soft body parts from the type locality (slide no. OK-TX-JR-3). Collected from the type locality on March 16, 2010, by James Reddell and Marcelino Reyes.

**Paratypes.** Two males (slide no. OK-TX-JR-4) and 3 females (slide no. OK-TX-JR-5) mounted and sealed in glass slides, collected from the type locality on

March 16, 2010, by James Reddell and Marcelino Reyes; 3 females, 1 male, and 4 juveniles also collected from the type locality and kept in 70% ethanol.

**Type Locality.** Hidden Rock-Shelter (F 35-11) (Appendix 2, site 23), Fort Cavazos (formerly Fort Hood), Bell County, Texas, USA, lat 31.1330307 N, long 97.5987080 W.

**Description (Male)**. Measurements based on mid-length. L 1.33–1.64 mm; H 0.55–0.68 mm; W 0.44 mm (*n*=4). H /L 0.42; W/L 0.30. LV overlapping RV anteriorly and posteriorly (Fig. 2B). Carapace elongate and crescent, anterior margin narrowly curved and apex lower down (Fig. 2C–D, L–M). Carapace surface smooth (striations seen in higher magnification) with normal pore openings and thin setae (Fig. 2J). Dorsal margin slightly arched anteriorly. Greatest height approximately in front of center. In dorsal view (Fig. 2G–I), anterior margin more rounded than posterior margin. Calcified inner lamella wide anteriorly and posteriorly (Fig. 2C–D), anterior margin with diamond-shaped ornamentations internally. Six muscle scars located at center and 5 to 6 small scars seen dorsally (Fig. 2K). LV with 2 keels ventrally. Eyes visible with black pigment (Fig. 2L–M). Color translucent to greenish.

Antenulle (A1). Seven segmented (Fig. 3A). First segment (base) with V-shaped articulation, a medium-sized,

slightly plumose seta on dorsal margin, and 2 unequally-long, smooth setae on ventral margin. Wouters organ absent. Second segment with Ro short on ventral margin, dorsal-apical seta medium in size and smooth. Third segment with a long, dorsal-apical seta extending to the end of the aesthetasc ya, and 1 short and smooth ventral seta. Fourth segment with 2 long dorsal-apical setae, and 2 unequally-long, ventral-apical setae. Fifth segment with 3 long dorsal setae and 2 medium-sized, ventral-apical setae. Sixth segment with 3 long and smooth setae and 1 very-short, dorsal seta. Terminal segment with 3 long and smooth setae and 1 medium-sized aesthetasc ya.

Antenna (A2). Four segmented (Fig. 3B). First segment with 2 medium-sized, smooth dorsal-apical setae reaching half of base and 1 long seta extending to the 2nd segment. Exopodial plate on 2nd segment with 1 long and 2 small setae and with 6 short, natatory setae on inner edge of the segment; 6th seta longer, barely reaching a third of the segment, and 1 well-developed, plumosed, ventral-apical seta exceeding the terminal segment. Aesthetasc Y short, 2 segmented. Third (penultimate) segment with 2 medium-sized setae in dorsal edge, t1-t3 setae unequally long and slightly plumosed; t1 seta thicker than t2-3 setae; t4 seta reduced or very thin. Y2 seta very short 1/ 3 of terminal segment. G1 and G2 claws well developed and unequally long; G3 very short, seta-like, and slightly exceeding terminal segment. Z1 seta well developed, longer than the claws; z2 seta-like, smooth, similar in size to the G2 claw; z3 seta thick, stout, and slightly longer than the terminal segment. GM claw well-de-



Figure 4. *Dolerocypris reyesi* sp. nov. (*A*) Mxl of male. (*B*) Rake-like organ of male. (*C*) Right T1 of male. (*D*) Left palp of male. (*E*) T1 of female. (*F*) T2 of male. (*G*) T3 of male. The scale bar represents 100  $\mu$ m for Fig. 4A and C–G and 25  $\mu$ m for Fig. 4B.

veloped on terminal segment; Gm claw short about 1/3 of GM; short y3 and short seta. Claws (G1, G2, GM) and z1 seta serrated and slightly curved at the end.

**Mandible (Md)**. Coxa with 6 well-developed, robust teeth and medium-sized setae internally, and 1 short, stout dorsal seta (Fig. 3D). Palp 4 segmented. First segment with vibratory plate with 8–9 well-plumosed setae; S1 and S2 plumosed and unequally long. Alpha seta slightly plumosed about 1/3 of S1 seta. Second segment with a group of 3 smooth and 1 plumosed setae internally, beta seta slightly hirsute tapering to distal end, about size of alpha seta. Three equally-long and smooth, external setae reaching end of terminal segment. Third (penultimate) segment with 5 unequally-long and smooth setae, 2 antero-distal setae exceeding twice the terminal segment, 1 short seta slightly longer than terminal segment, 2 internal setae unequally long and smooth, short one about 1/3 of long (claw-like) seta. Gamma seta stout and slightly hirsute. Four antero-distal setae smooth, reaching about tips of terminal setae. Terminal segment with unequally-long, 3-claw-like setae, and 3 short setae.

Maxillula (Mxl). Two-segmented palp and 3 endites well developed (Fig. 4A), vibratory plate with 20–21 plumosed setae. First, 2nd and 3rd endites with 7 setae (2 long, 5 short), 6 setae similar in size, and 6 setae (4 smooth and 2 smooth bristle-like (Zahnborsten)), respectively. Base of 1st endite with 2 medium-sized slender setae. First segment



Figure 5. *Dolerocypris revesi* sp. nov. (*A*) Ur of male. (*B*) Ur attachment of male. (*C*) Zenker's organ. (*D*) Hemipenis with lobes *a*, *b*, *c*, *d*, *e*, and *f*. (*E*) Genital organ of female. The scale bar represents 100  $\mu$ m for Fig. 5A and D–E and 50  $\mu$ m for Fig. 5B–C.

of Mxl palp with 5 setae (4 long, smooth, apical setae and 1 short seta). First palp 3 times the length of 2nd palp. Second (terminal) segment rectangular with 5 claw-like, smooth, unequally-long setae.

**Rake-Like Organ.** Six to 7 teeth (Fig. 4B).

First Thoracic Leg (T1). Prehensile palps slightly asymmetrical ending with hooked-like fingers modified into clasping organs (Fig. 4C–D). Right palp stronger and more robust than left. Left palp thinner, longer, and proximally curved more tightly than right. Fingers ending with a strong, triangular part. Endite (masticatory process) with 2 groups of anterior setae, small antero-ventral group with 4 short setae, large group with ca. 10 smooth and plumosed setae. Two unequally-long a setae; medium-sized d and b setae present; c seta absent. Vibratory plate with 6 (5 long, 1 short) medium-sized setae.

Second Thoracic Leg (T2). Five segmented (Fig. 4F) with medium-sized plumosed d1 and d2 setae (d1 > d2) on 1st segment. Second, 3rd and 4th segments with e, f, 2g setae plumosed, respectively. Longest g seta 2 times longer than terminal segment, small seta

half of long seta. Length ratio f > e > g. Terminal segment subrectangular, seta h1 and h2 about same size, h2 claw long (longer than the last 3 segments) and slightly serrated.

**Third Thoracic Leg (T3).** Three segmented (Fig. 4G) with almost equally-long and smooth d1 and d2 setae and long dp seta on 1st segment. Second and 3rd segments with slightly-plumosed "e" and "f" setae, respectively. Seta "e" about 3 times longer than seta "f." Terminal segment with h1–3 setae, h1 seta very short, h2 hook-like and h3 seta-like extending about half way of 3rd segment.

**Uropod.** Ending with 2 claws and 2 setae (Fig. 5A); anterior claw very strong and curved; posterior seta thinner about 2/3 of anterior claw, slightly longer than smooth, anterior seta. Uropodal attachment (Fig. 5B) with a curved dorsal branch.

Zenker Organ. 30 whorls ending with sperm canal (Fig. 5C).

**Hemipenis.** Medium in size (Fig. 5D). Lobe *a* (medial lobe of lateral shield) trapezoid, dorsal lobe of lateral shield (*d*) with pointing end. Lobe *b* (ventral lobe of medial shield) rounded, slightly longer than lobe *a*. Lobe *c* (medial lobe of ventral shield) slightly rounded. Bursa copulatrix (*e*) small. Transverse fold (*f*) about at mid-length.

**Description (Female).** Carapace similar in shape of male (Fig. 2A, E–F, M). L 1.34–1.70 mm; H 0.56–0.68 mm; W 0.45 mm (n = 4). H/L 0.42; W/L 0.30. G-claws (length ratio G1  $\approx$  G2  $\approx$  GM > G3 > Gm) present on A2 (Fig. 3C). Setae z1–3 thin, slightly exceeding half of terminal claws. T1 (Fig. 4E) normally developed, similar size with male T1, endopod with 2 short and 1 long h1–3 setae (h2 > h1 > h3). Seta h2 twice L of h1. All smooth. Endite with 10 + 3 apical setae. Genital part rounded and without appendages (Fig. 5E). All other soft parts similar to that of male.

**Accompanying Taxa**. Cyclocypris dalyana, Cypridopsis cf. elongata, and Pseudocandona cf. stagnalis (each included in Appendix 1); Ilyocypris sp. and Limnocythere sp. (not included in Appendix 1).

**Remarks**. Table 1 compares characters between *Dolerocypris reyesi* sp. nov. and *D. ikeyai* because these 2 species are the only 2 of the genus bearing very short (reduced) natatory (swimming) setae on A2. Other species are known with long swimming setae reaching or extending terminal claws of A2.

The genus Dolerocypris comprises 7 species (D. fasciata, D. ikeyai, D. marina, D. opesta, D. sinensis, D. sisaketensis, D. tenuis) with 2 subspecies (D. f. fasciata, D. f. nipponensis) (Meisch et al., 2019). Savatenalinton and Sutta-

Table 1. Comparison of <i>Do</i>	lerocypris ikeyai and Dolerocypris reyesi n. sp. (Smith and Kamiya, 20	06). D. S.
Cnaracters	Dolerocypris ikeyai"	Dolerocypris reyesi n. sp.
A1 segments	7 segmented	7 segmented
A1 Rome organ	short, stout	shorter
A1 Ya seta	L ca. last 4 segments	L ca. last 3 segments
A1 1st segment	a-lobe well developed	not a lobe-like
A2	5? or 4 (cf. Fig 4e with male)	4 segmented
A2 sw setae	reduced, not reaching middle L of penultimate segment, 5 very short setae and 1 seta twice length of other 5, on anterior-most side	total of 6 very short setae, longest is not 2 x of others.
A2 Aesthetasc Y	2 segmented, smooth	3 segments, shorter, blunt
A2 z-setae	3-thin setae in female and A2 female, z1-3 approximately 3/4 length of claws G1 to G3. Gm 80% length of GM. GM reaches to end of claws G1–G3. In males: z1-2 claw like, z3 short thin, z4 absent.	z1 claw like, z2 thin long (ca. z1) seta like, z3 short blunt claw like
A2 t-setae	t1-4 present	t2-4 present, t1 reduced/absent
A2 Aesthetasc y1-3	only y2-3 present	only y3 present, others not seen
T1 a-d setae	2a short, b, d present, c missing, 4 vibratory setae?, h3 plumosed	6 vibratory setae plumosed, c absent, 14 anterior setae, female has a spine, h1-3 setae smooth
T1 prehensile palps	2 segmented, hook-like, 1 thin spine	a setae longer setosed, b, d setae plumose and thick, 2 (one smaller) spines
Т2	5 segmented	5 segmented
T2 d-setae	d1-2 short, similar in size, smooth	d1-2 setae plumose, d1>d2
T2 e-g setae	f >e>2g (1 shorter)	similar, but plumose
Cleaning leg T3	3 segmented	3 segmented
T3 d-setae	all 3 smooth	similar
T3 e-g setae	e-f smooth	similar, e-f setae plumose
T3 terminal segment	pincer like	pincer like, h2 segmented, h1 short
Md S-setae	S1 plumosed, Seta s2 approximately 3/4 (1/4 must be?) of length of s1 cone-shape.	S1 plumose, s2 ca 3/4 of S1
Terminal segment	Final segment with 3 stout setae and 3 thinner, shorter setae	similar, all setae smooth
Md- alpha, beta, gamma setate	Alpha short and slender. Beta seta short, broad and hirsute; Gamma seta stout and hirsute.	Alpha seta short ca 1/3 of S1seta and slender. Beta seta short, broad and hirsute; Gamma seta stout and hirsute.
Md setal group	3+1 (all smooth)	3 thin and smooth and equal in size+1 (plumosed)
Md-palp vibratory setae	NA	8-9 well developed plumosed setae
Md-coxa	Coxa well developed with endite sporting (5 in figure) robust teeth.	Coxa well developed with endite sporting (6) robust teeth.
MxI terminal segment	elongated	slightly elongated
Mxl palp	1st segment with 4 apical setae on outer corner, and 1 shorter, subapical seta. Final segment with 1 stout, claw-like seta and 5 shorter, thinner setae. First endite with 2 hirsute, subapical setae on inner edge perpendicular to endite, and 1 smooth seta on inner edge at base of endite.	1st segment with 4 apical setae and 1 long (ca. equal size) subapical seta. Final segment with 2 stout, claw-like seta and 4 shorter setae. All setae smooth. First endite with 2 smooth, subapical setae, and 2 subequal smooth setae on inner edge at base of endite, plus ca. 10 short setae. Second endite with two claw-like and 4 thin setae. Third segment with 2 smooth bristles and 4 equally long smooth setae.

Table 1. Comparison of D(	olerocypris ikeyai and Dolerocypris reyesi n. sp. (Smith and Kamiya, 2	06).
Characters	Dolerocypris ikeyai <sup>a</sup>	Dolerocypris reyesi n. sp.
MxI-bristle	2 smooth bristles	2 slightly segmented, smooth
Hemipenis	Ventral lobe of medial shield protruding slightly beyond other lobes. Medial lobe of ventral shield angular. Medial lobe of lateral shield trapezoid, dorsal lobe of lateral shield elongate and rounded.	lobes slightly different (see the description in the text)
Zenker Organ	with 21 rosettes.	with 29-31 rosettes.
Carapace size	L=1030–1200 mm, H= 410– 500 mm.	L =1640 mm, H=501 mm, W =450 mm
Carapace	RV overlapping LV	LV overlapping RV
Carapace shape	Carapace elongate, sub-crescent lateral outline, ventral margin almost straight.	Elongate, crescent, anterior part of the carapace more tightly curved, and the apex of the curve lower down.
Carapace internal	Inner lamella very wide both anteriorly and posteriorly.	anterior inner lamella wider than posterior
Carapace inner list	a prominent inner list on the anterior of the right valve absent	LV posteriorly weak or anteriorly absent
Surface	smooth	long strait lines better seen in SEM
Muscle scars	6 adductor scars (4 small scars with 2 slightly larger scars above) and 2 mandibular scars.	similar
Dorsal scars	NA	5-6 long scars
Left valve internal view	smooth	ventrally with two medium-sized teeth
a This study.		

jit (2016) provided detailed information about worldwide distribution of the genus while they pointed out that taxonomic problems occur with the classification of *D. marina* due to missing descriptions of the uropodal attachment by Hartmann (1965). This part is necessary for identification due to occurrence of Triebel's loop that is used to characterize whether or not a species belongs to subfamilies Cypricercinae or Dolerocypridinae (see Nagler et al., 2014). The attachment is simple in Dolerocypridinae but the loop is present in the former. Due to lack of this important detail, the taxonomic status of *D. marina* is not clear in the genus.

Savatenalinton and Suttajit (2016) divided the species of the genus into South American and Eurasian groups. The first group includes D. opesta and D. tenius while the second group consists of 4 species (D. fasciata, D. ikeyai, D. sinensis, D. sisaketensis). It is clear that D. fasciata and D. sinensis are more frequently known in different countries than other species (e.g., Hartmann, 1964). However, some reports are also doubtful; for instance, Brehm (1923) underlining the lack of color bands on the carapace indicated that the species from Kwanhsien (Sichuan, China) belonged to D. sinensis or D. fasciata. Since Hartmann's list in 1964, geographic distributions of both species have been encountered from several other parts of the world (e.g., Meisch, 2000; Smith and Kamiya, 2006; Akdemir and Külköylüoğlu, 2014). In NA, D. sinensis representing the genus was first recognized from stormwater management ponds in the Red Run watershed (Owings Mills, Maryland) (Gray et al., 2010). Therefore, D. reyesi sp. nov. is the second species of the genus in NA. The oldest fossil species of the genus were known from the Late Cretaceous from Brazil (Almeida and Carmo, 2013), while Palaearctic species are reported from early Oligocene (Carbonnel and Ritzkowski, 1969), Miocene (Janz, 1997; Pipík and Bodergat, 2004), Pliocene-Pleistocene (Tunoğlu et al., 2012) and Holocene (Griffiths et al., 1993).

As pointed out above, except for D. ikeyai, occurrence of short natatory setae on A2 distinguishes the new species from other species (Table 1). Furthermore, another critical difference between the 2 species is seen in the carapace dimensions. Accordingly, Meisch (2000, p. 359) stated that "LV overlaps RV ventrally. RV exceeds LV in length" for the general description of the genus. In D. ikeyai, RV overlaps LV anteriorly and posteriorly (Smith and Kamiya, 2006). Most recently, Savatenalinton and Suttajit (2016) reported a new species, D. sisaketensis, collected from rice fields and streams of 2 districts in Thailand and that the species exhibited RV overlapping LV anteriorly and posteriorly. In contrast, LV overlaps RV anteriorly and posteriorly in Dolerocypris reyesi sp. nov. Such a difference may be considered variation among the species of the genus while other characters correspond to the description of the genus.

(Microdarwinula

**Habitat**. The species was found in shallow pools in a 20 m long rock-shelter. The water originated from small springs above the rock-shelter that flowed through the karstic rock-shelter and into a shallow canyon.

Suborder Cypridocopina Baird, 1845 Superfamily Darwinuloidea Brady and Robertson, 1885 Family Darwinulidae Brady and Norman, 1889 Genus *Microdarwinula* Danielopol, 1968 *Microdarwinula zimmeri* (Menzel, 1916) (*in* Menzel, 1917) Appendix 3A

**Material Examined.** Three females and 1 juvenile from Spring 22-337 (Appendix 2, site 44), a karst spring at Fort Cavazos, Bell County, Texas, USA, lat 31.2001485 N, long 97.5721002 W, collected April 6, 2010, by James Reddell and Marcelino Reyes; 9 females examined from Asellid Spring (F 22-213) (Appendix 2, site 3), Fort Cavazos, Bell County, Texas, USA, lat 31.2102550 N, long 97.5853629 W, collected July 30, 2007, by Charles Pekins, James Reddell, and Marcelino Reyes.



zimmeri and Microdarwinula brevis). When Danielopol (1968) described the genus represented by M. zimmeri, living individuals of the species were listed from Equatorial Africa (Tanzania), Asia (Sunda Islands), and Europe (Romania). Schäfer (2005, 2008) reported fossil specimens of M. brevis and M. aff. zimmeri from early Miocene and late Oligocene deposits in Germany, respectively. Danielopol (1968) underlined that the fossil species D. brevis described from the Miocene deposits from Germany by Straub (1952) was similar, and now it is a synonym. He also underlined that his reports from Romania were possibly relicts of the Tertiary. Martens et al. (1997) listed the species from 1 Holocene locality in France and 8 Quaternary localities in Germany. Including these previous records, live individuals of the species are known from Indonesia, Africa, Madagascar, and Cuba (Martens et al., 1997). Taylor (1992) reported an undescribed species from 2 springs located in south-central Ontario (Canada) as Microdar-

winula sp. This taxon has not been

officially described at species level as *M. zimmeri* (similarly, see

the remarks for Penthesilenula

aotearoa and P. brasiliensis be-

low). However, the taxon was ac-

counted M. zimmeri later in some

studies (e.g., Martens et al., 1997;

Rossetti and Martens, 1998; Pinto

et al., 2005; Smith and Delorme,

Remarks

Figure 6. Strict consensus tree of phylogenetic analysis in WinClada-NONA software with 31 taxa and 30 characters. Species without clear morphological descriptions are not shown. Plesiomorphic and apomorphic characters are coded as 0 and 1, respectively. Note nonhomoplasious changes (*black circles*) and homoplasious changes (*white circles*) with character number (*number above the circles*), character state (*number below the circles*), and character state transformation between each character state (>). The key to the character numbers and character states is Appendix 4. *Bold numbers* indicate percent values.

Journal of Cave and Karst Studies, June 2023 • 37

2010) without a clear explanation and description of the species, despite the fact that Taylor (1992) used only carapaces for the description and did not use internal morphology of the specimens. This makes it difficult to accept that her specimens belong to *M. zimmeri* because characters in carapace (e.g., presence of internal teeth, elongate shape of carapace) and soft body parts (e.g., absence of y1 aesthetasc in A2) are important for the species description and separation it from its only conspecific *M. inexpectata* reported from Brazil by Pinto et al. (2005).

Remarks (Microdarwinula zimmeri and Microdarwinula inexpectata). In a recent study using 30 morphological characters with 23 taxa (21 species and 2 unnamed taxa in Vestalenula), Rossetti et al. (2011) illustrated phylogenic clustering results where 2 species (M. zimmeri and M. inexpectata) were also compared. Among the characters, 27 of 30 were the same while 3 characters (shape of valve in lateral view (Appendices 4 and 5, character no. 1), L/H ratio (Appendices 4 and 5, character no. 9), and hinge type (Appendices 4 and 5, character no. 7)) were used to distinguish the species. However, Rossetti et al. (2011) did not use absence/presence of y1 aesthetasc on A2 as a different character despite the fact that the character was among the 3 "differential diagnostic" characters (Pinto et al., 2005) and was even used a taxonomic key to separate the 2 species (Karanovic, 2012). Furthermore, comparing the character states used by Rossetti et al. (2011), M. zimmeri and M. inexpectata seem to display more common characters. For example, character states for lateral view of carapace were given as rounded (state 2) in M. zimmeri and sub-squarish or rounded (state 1 or 2) in M. inexpectata. However, in the original description of M. inexpectata, carapace was described as ovoid shaped in lateral view (or relatively rounded) (Pinto et al., 2005). Similarly, there is an overlap in the ratio of the 2 species; for instance, M. zimmeri L/H ratio was between 1.8–2.2 (state 1) when it was less than 1.8 (state 2) in M. inexpectata. If one compares the ratio from the original description of Pinto et al. (2005), L/H ratio can be found higher than 1.8 (up to 1.85, if not more). We underline that using these 2 character states might not be enough to portray differences between the 2 species; therefore, results of cluster analyses of Rossetti et al. (2011) seem to be confusing. Along with a few other slight differences, Pinto et al. (2005) underlined that valve morphology can be ambiguous when comparing the lineages (i.e., M. zimmeri and M. inexpectata). Rossetti et al. (2011) pointed out similar view that identification of species or genus can be incorrect when valve morphology is exclusively used in the Darwinulidae. This is because valve morphology can be affected by ecological conditions more than soft body parts, which are especially more conservative in the family. Thus, overall, one may consider that M. inexpectata may be a synonym to M. zimmeri due to plastic valve morphology under different aquatic conditions. Van Doninck et al. (2003) mentioned the presence of M. zimmeri from 8 different localities including NA, but they did not show these localities in their map of NA (their Fig. 2) and also did not provide information about sampling sites. Smith and Delorme (2010) provided left and right valves of M. zimmeri from interstitial fen sediments of Mantua Bog (Ohio) but did not clarify whether the species had soft body parts during sampling. Thus, live individuals of M. zimmeri reported in the present study can be counted as the 2nd (if not the 1st) record of the species from NA and the US.

Family Darwinulidae Brady and Norman, 1889

Genus Vestalenula Rossetti and Martens, 1998

*Vestalenula marmonieri* Rossetti and Martens, 1999 Appendix 3B

**Material Examined.** Twenty-one females from Cute Chick Spring (Appendix 2, site 14), Fort Cavazos, Bell County, Texas, USA, lat 31.1944975 N; long 97.5875553 W, collected on March 31, 2010, by James Reddell and Marcelino Reyes.

**Remarks**. In general, the genus *Vestalenula* can be separated from its close relative *Penthesilenula* (see below) based on the presence/absence of a postero-ventral keel on the RV (no keel in *Penthesilenula*), the presence of an antero-ventral internal tooth on the LV in *Vestalenula*, presence of 1 dorsal seta on the 1st segment of A1 in *Vestalenula*, and the presence of 1 seta and 1 spine in the A2 exopod in *Vestalenula* (2 setae and 1 spine present in *Penthesilenula*) (Rossetti and Martens, 1998; Rossetti et al., 2011). Based on the keel length on the RV, the genus may include 2 groups: it is short in the *V. boteai* group but elongate in the *V. danielopoli* group. However, taxonomic separation of these groups can be questionable because the keel length or even the keel itself may not be clearly seen in some cases (Karanovic, 2012). Also, as noted above for *M. zimmeri*, using such carapace morphology requires great attention (Pinto et al., 2005; Rossetti et al., 2011) because carapace morphology (referring to phenotypic plasticity) is more flexible to environmental changes than soft body parts. In our specimens, the keel on RV is short but not rounded, suggesting that they belong to the *V. boteai* group.

According to earlier studies (Rossetti and Martens, 1999; Smith and Delorme, 2010), the genus is not known from NA. Indeed, its distribution has up to now seemed to be limited in the north of the New Caledonia Island (a tributary of the River Diahot) (Rossetti and Martens, 1999) and Australasian (Yonderup Lake and Eil Spring in Australia) (Martens and Rossetti, 2002, and references therein). Unlike *Darwinula* (and now *Penthesilenula*, this study) and 1 species of *Alicenula* from Florida (Keyser, 1975), different species of the genus *Vestalenula* have been unofficially known from USA (e.g., Külköylüoğlu, 1999), and they are already known from Europe (Radovan Kyška-Pipík, Slovak Academy of

Sciences, personal communication). However, most recently, Külköylüoğlu et al. (2021) reported occurrences of the 2 species of the genus (*V. cuneata, V. cylindrica*) from several aquatic sites in Texas indicating that rare occurrences of several genera of the family (or none so far) can also be related to lack of studies in the USA (and NA). Thus, this report of *V. marmonieri* is the first official report of the species from NA. Similar to the other 3 species of the family stated here (*P. aotearoa, P. brasiliensis, M. zimmeri*), finding *V. marmonieri* from Texas is considerably important for expanding its geographical distribution further in NA. Artheau (2007) proposed that the wide range of geographical distribution of the Holocene species of the genus was probably related to various ways of adapting to the conditions in subterranean habitats. Thus, this supports independent colonization of these habitats since the Tertiary and/or earlier times. The reporting of species of the genus from the Neogene in Italy, Crete, and Serbia (Ligios et al., 2009) and Turkey (Tuncer, 2020) and from the Quaternary in Portugal (Minati et al., 2008) and Siberia (Konovalova, 2019) exhibited strong supportive evidence that even the fossil species of the genus are widely distributed worldwide. Our specimens were collected from Cute Chick Spring, which emerges from small holes in the floor below the entrance to Cute Chick Cave. Including the previous studies mentioned herein, our finding suggests that *V. marmonieri* can be found from lakes, springs and shallow hyporheic (possibly interstitial) aquatic habitats.

Family Darwinulidae Brady and Norman, 1889 Genus *Penthesilenula* Rossetti and Martens, 1998 *Penthesilenula incae* Delachaux, 1928 Appendix 3C

**Material Studied.** 16 females from Asellid Spring (F 22-213) (Appendix 2, site 3), Fort Cavazos, Bell County, Texas, USA, lat 31.2102550 N, long 97.5853629 W, collected on July 30, 2007, by Charles Pekins, James Reddell, and Marcelino Reyes.

Remarks. According to previous studies (Delachaux, 1928; Rossetti et al., 1996; Rossetti and Martens, 1998; Pinto et al., 2004), P. incae is so far known from Lake Huaron (Peru) and Laguna Guaqui (Bolivia). This is the first record of its occurrence in North America. Although the species, under the genus Darwinula, was first described from a lake in Peru by Delachaux (1928), line drawings and details in the carapace were not clearly expressed (Rossetti and Martens, 1998). Therefore, it was redescribed under the genus Penthesilenula by Rossetti et al. (1996). The genus Penthesilenula includes 2 groups: P. incae and P. africana. They are basically separated by the presence of a caudal and/or a postero-ventral internal tooth on the valves. In the P. incae group, an internal caudal tooth is present on the LV and a postero-ventral tooth is absent, while in the P. africana group a caudal tooth is absent and a postero-ventral tooth is present (Rossetti and Martens, 1998). Our specimens seem slightly smaller in length (ca. 750 mm) than the reports in the literature, ranging from 772 mm (Rossetti et al., 1996) to 870 mm (Delachaux, 1928). Pinto et al. (2004) underlined that different populations of the genus (e.g., P. brasiliensis) exhibited highly-variable carapace morphology while soft body parts displayed robust characters. These authors also discussed the situation from an evolutionary perspective, indicating that the taxonomic explanation of such variabilities in carapace morphology was not simply due to environmental factors (e.g., water temperature) that play an effective role on valve size more than valve shape. This is correct when there are indeed overlapping ranges between the length and height ratios among the species of the genus. There is not much known about its ecology because of its rare occurrences from only a couple of sample sites.

Penthesilenula aotearoa Rossetti et al., 1998

Appendix 3D

**Material Studied.** 46 females from Spring 22-337 (Appendix 2, site 44), Fort Cavazos, Bell County, Texas, USA, lat 31.2001485 N, long 97.5721002 W, collected on April 6, 2010, by James Reddell and Marcelino Reyes.

**Remarks.** Under the genus *Darwinula*, the species was first described from a small swamp flowing into the Karori Stream (New Zealand) (Rossetti et al., 1998). However, the same species from the same locality was removed to another genus *Penthesilenula* in the same year by Rossetti and Martens (1998). The second report of the species was obtained from a swamp, spring, and wet moss sites in São Paulo, Brazil (Pinto et al., 2004). Thus, this is the first report of the genus and the species *P. aotearoa* from NA. Similar to *P. incae* mentioned above, this species belongs to the *P. incae* group. The species was collected from a spring site coming out of a 15 cm diameter hole in talus and flowing into a canyon (Reddell and Reyes, 2010).

## PHYLOGENY

WinClada-NONA analyses with 31 taxa and 30 characters exhibited a majority tree (Length = 89, consistency index = 0.43, retention index = 0.77) in more than 100 equally-parsimonious trees (Fig. 6, Appendices 4 and 5). The species used here are mainly separated into 6 clustering groups of genera comprising *Darwinula* (outgroup), *Penthesilenula* (Group A), *Microdarwinula* (Group B), *Alicenula* (Group C), *Isabenula* (Group D), and *Vestalenula* (Group E), while *Penthesilenula* and *Vestalenula* included 2 subgroups each (see the Discussion for details). These results are found consistent before and after exclusion of 6 taxa/species (Fig. 6).

# DISCUSSION

#### **New Records of Species**

Other than the species remarked upon above, *Typhlocypris* cf. *prespica* and 3 other taxa (*Cypridopsis* cf. *herpestica*, *Pseudocandona* cf. *parallela*, *P*. cf. *pratensis*) collected from Texas and 1 (*Physocypria exquisite*) collected from Mexico are newly reported in this study. Due to a lack of individuals, these taxa are not discussed here. Moreover, the other species not discussed here are already known from Texas (Appendix 1). Finding the species stated above as new records from Texas, the US, and NA is important for at least 2 reasons. First, geographical distribution of these species has now been expanded into the Northern Hemisphere. Considering the fact that Texas is near the border of the neotropical region, this finding is not surprising, but it portrays strong support for the historical correlation between the continents (i.e., North and South America). Second, distribution of some species (e.g., *Penthesilenula aotearoa*) is probably much wider than currently known. It is true that such a scarcity of reporting the species from a few regions implies a lack of studies. The lack of studies is the problem for species and higher taxonomic levels. This is important because reporting scarcity of the species can be associated with inadequate information about species description (Rossetti and Martens, 1998). Although we fail to provide water quality measurements, distribution and occurrence of several species appear to be correlated with conditions in the waters they inhabit. This may be the case for *P. Aotearoa* that seems to inhabit slow- (or not) flowing water bodies related to springs. However, this needs to be confirmed in future studies due to the lack of ecological data.

#### The Family Darwinulidae

As remarked above, comparing the levels of plasticity on carapace structure and soft body parts, it may be true that carapace shape and size of some species of Darwinulidae are more prone to environmental factors than soft body parts. Indeed, such a relationship was also illustrated in several other ostracod species (e.g., Keyser and Walter, 2004; Keyser, 2005). For example, Minati et al. (2008) found certain differences in carapace morphology of *Vestalenula cy-lindrica* in some European countries (Austria and Slovakia versus Portugal and southern France), suggesting that such differences could be the indication of micro-evolutionary changes. If so, using characters such as carapace size and/or occurrence or size of tooth and keel should require utmost attention and probably be avoided in taxonomic keys. Similar problems can be seen with soft body parts in 2 possible ways: (1) damage of soft body parts during dissection may bring about additional difficulty for species identification, and (2) even soft body parts can be changed due to environmental factors as was shown in the hemipenis of *Heterocypris incongruens* by Yavuzatmaca and Külköylüoğlu (2019). Thus, our study gives the utmost attention to species description when using these characters.

#### The Genus Vestalenula

According to Meisch et al. (2019), most recently, the family Darwinulidae covers 6 genera (Alicenula, Darwinula, Isabenula, Microdarwinula, Penthesilenula, and Vestalenula). This supports the view of previous studies (e.g., Martens et al., 2005; Rossetti et al., 2011). Results of our phylogenetic analyses (Fig. 6) are generally in agreement with this view, while clustering relationships of 2 genera (Microdarwinula and Isabenula) deserve discussion (but see the remarks above). Among these 6 genera, it is not surprising that they consist of subgroups. For example, this is the case in Vestalenula (Fig. 6). Artheau (2007) underlined that Vestalenula bears 2 subgroups as the V. danielopoli group (keel on the LV elongated) and the V. boteai group (keel short). However, as the author clearly stated, the second group (Fig. 6 in Artheau, 2007) included both species with short and long keel. Accordingly, Artheau implied that this character (even if it is considered as a plesiomorphic one) may not be enough to conclude that the V. danielopoli group as an ancestor has historical priority over the V. boteai group. Thus, one may consider that length of keel may not indicate clear separation among these groups since such a character on the carapace appears to exhibit more plasticity than considered before as suggested by Smith et al. (2015) for the species V. cylindrica (cf. Rossetti and Martens, 1999). Moreover, it is known that carapace structure seems to be more vulnerable to environmental changes than soft body parts. This view was also shown in other ostracods. For example, Finston (2000) compared morphological and molecular (allozyme) characters of species of Mytilocypris, a common genus in saline aquatic bodies of Australia, and found serious incompatibility between them. According to Finston, one of the explanations of such incongruence was due to the plasticity of some characters such as carapace size, shape, and allometry. If so, separation of a new species into one of those subgroups based on this character(s) without soft body parts (e.g., the species V. flexuosa described without soft body parts by Rossetti and Martens (1999)) can confuse distinguishing the species/taxa from other members of the groups.

#### The Genus Penthesilenula

This issue is also similar for the genus *Penthesilenula* in which there are 2 subgroups: the *P. incae* group (LV without postero-ventral tooth and with caudal tooth) and the *P. africana* group (LV with postero-ventral tooth and without caudal tooth). Pinto et al. (2005) described a new species *P. reidae* from waters in bromeliad pouches in Brazil. The species

was placed into the *P. africana* group. The authors specifically pinpointed that the species was closely related to the *P. brasiliensis* lineage since the soft body parts of *P. reidae* were almost identical with a few slight differences (Pinto et al., 2005). Based on these perspectives, one may consider that both species may be synonyms. This is probaby the reason that these 2 species were found in the same lineage of Rossetti et al. (2011).

#### The Genus Microdarwinula

In terms of *Microdarwinula*, our clustering analysis showed that it was clustered closer to the genus *Penthesilenula*. This clustering relationship was earlier suggested by Martens et al. (2005) and Rossetti et al. (2011). In our case, we found 2 species of *Microdarwinula* (*M. inexpectata*, *M. zimmeri*) clustered in the same subgroup with *P. araucana*. Martens et al. (2005) did not include *P. araucana* in their analyses due to uncertainty about morphological characters of it (see also other species in their study). Unlike these studies, we accounted for the species in our analyses. Inclusion of this species (and other species not used in previous studies) was due to new information about them. However, this does not change the general view that *Microdarwinula* appears to be closely related to *Penthesilenula*. The separation of 2 species of the genus *Microdarwinula* with *P. araucana* was based on the presence of a postero-internal tooth in the LV of the *Microdarwinula* species (Fig. 6).

#### The Genus Isabenula

Another genus that is worth discussion herein is *Isabenula*. It is represented by 1 species (*I. humphreysi*) in our analyses. The genus was first proposed as a new one by Rossetti et al. (2011). Ever since its introduction, the genus has been only known with its type species. It was clustered between the 2 genera *Alicenula* and *Vestalenula*. The species *I. humphreysi* was separated from the genus *Vestalenula* with 4 nonhomoplastic characters (characters 3, 14, 17, 19 in Fig. 6). Both genera are clearly separated from other genera discussed above (but see the remarks). Except for some slight differences, *Vestalenula* showed subgroups nested within the genus as reported similarly in the previous studies discussed above. Our finding different results can be related to 3 possibilities: (1) we used more taxa/species than previously used in the literature because we included new reports not used in those earlier studies; (2) we used different character states in our analyses (see Appendices 4 and 5); and (3) we used a different clustering program. It is important to underline that—as our results imply—using more characters and/or different character states along with additional species can change clustering relationships among the genera (Külköylüoğlu et al., 2019). Nevertheless, our results with slight differences discussed above confirm the previous findings.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are greatful to James Reddell who permitted us to use his materials during the present study. Also, we deeply appreciate Dr. Robin Smith (Lake Biwa Museum, Japan) for providing personal information about *Dolerocypris*. Dr. Radovan Kyška-Pipík (Slovak Academy of Sciences) is also thanked for personal information about occurrence of *Vestalenula* in Europe. We thank Charles Pekins for help with collections and coordinating studies on Fort Cavazos, and we thank George Veni for coordinating the studies on Camp Bullis. We are especially grateful to Marcelino Reyes for help with fieldwork and to Jean Krejca and Peter Sprouse for allowing us to study material donated to the University of Texas Insect Collection.

#### REFERENCES

Akdemir, D., and Külköylüoğlu, O., 2014, Preliminary study on distribution, diversity, and ecological characteristics of nonmarine Ostracoda (Crustacea) from the Erzincan region (Turkey): Turkish Journal of Zoology, v. 38, p. 421–431, https://doi.org/10.3906/zoo-1301-16.

Akdemir, D., Külköylüoğlu, O., Yavuzatmaca, M., and Sari, N., 2016, Freshwater ostracods (Crustacea) of Gaziantep (Turkey) and their habitat preferences according to movement ability: Fundamental and Applied Limnology, v. 187, p. 307–314, https://doi.org/10.1127/fal/2016/0665.

Almeida, C.M.d., and Do Carmo, D.A., 2013, Taxonomy and palaeoenvironmental evolution of Late Cretaceous ostracodes from offshore Santos Basin, southern continental margin, Brazil: Naturalista Siciliano, v. 37, p. 31–32.

Artheau, M., 2007, Geographical review of the ostracod genus *Vestalenula* (Darwinulidae) and a new subterranean species from southern France: Invertebrate Systematics, v. 21, p. 471–486, https://doi.org/10.1071/IS05058.

Baird, W., 1845, Arrangement of the British Entomostraca, with a list of species, particularly noticing those which have as yet been discovered within the bounts of the club, *in* History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club, 1842–1849, p. 145–158.

Brady, G.S., and Norman, A.M., 1889. A monograph of the marine and freshwater Ostracoda of the North Atlantic and of north-western Europe: Section I: Podocopa: Scientific Transactions of the Royal Dublin Society, series 2, v. 4, p. 63–270.

Brehm, V., 1923, Bericht über die von Dr. H. Weigold in China gesammelten Kopepoden und Ostrakoden [Report by Dr. H. Weigold on copepods and ostracods collected in China]: Internationale Revue gesamten Hydrobiologie und Hydrographie, v. 11, p. 329–345, https://doi.org/10.1002/ iroh.19230110307.

Broodbakker, N.W., and Danielopol, D.L., 1982, The chaetotaxy of Cypridacea (Crustacea, Ostracoda) limbs: Proposals for a descriptive model: Bijdragen tot di Dierkunde, v. 52, p. 103–120, https://doi.org/10.1163/26660644-05202003.

Bronshtein, Z.S., 1947, Ostracoda presnykh vod [Fresh water ostracods], *in* Fauna SSSR: Rakoobraznye [Fauna of the USSR: Crustacea], v. 2, Moscow, Zoologicheskjy Institut Akademii Nauk SSSR, nov ser., v. 31, p. 1–339.

Carbonnel, G., and Ritzkowski, S., 1969, Ostracodes lacustres d' l'Oligocène: (Melanienton) de la Hesse—(Allemagne) [Lacustrine ostracods from the Oligocene: (Melanienton) from Hesse—(Germany)]: Archives des Sciences, v. 22, p. 55–82.

- Danielopol, D.L., 1968, *Microdarwinula* n. g. et quelques remarques sur la répartition de la famille Darwinulidae Br. et Norm. (Crustacea, Ostracoda) [*Microdarwinula* n. g. and some remarks on the distribution of the family Darwinulidae Br. and Norm. (Crustacea, Ostracoda)]: Annales de Limnologie, v. 4, p. 153–174, https://doi.org/10.1051/limn/1968004.
- Delachaux, T., 1928, Faune invertébrée d'eau douce des hauts plateaux du Pérou [Freshwater invertebrate fauna from the highlands of Peru]: Bulletin de la Société Neuchateloise des Sciences Naturelles, nouvelle série, v. 1, p. 45–77.
- Delorme, L.D., 1982, Lake Erie oxygen: The prehistoric record: Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, v. 39, p. 1021–1029, https:// doi.org/10.1139/f82-137.
- Delorme, L.D., and Zoltai, S.C., 1984, Distribution of an arctic ostracod fauna in space and time: Quaternary Research, v. 21, p. 65–73, https:// doi.org/10.1016/0033-5894(84)90090-5.
- Finston, T., 2000, Morphology and molecules conflict to confound species boundaries in salt lake ostracodes of the genus *Mytilocypris* (Crustacea: Ostracoda): Australian Journal of Zoology, v. 48, p. 393–409, https://doi.org/10.1071/ZO00046.
- Gray, E.P., Nunziata, S., Snodgrass, J.W., Ownby, D.R., and Havel, J.E., 2010, Predation on green frog eggs (*Rana clamitans*) by Ostracoda: Copeia, v. 3, p. 452–456, https://doi.org/10.1643/CH-09-074.
- Griffiths, H.I., Rouse, A., and Evans, J.G., 1993, Processing freshwater ostracods from archaeological deposits, with a key to the valves of the major British genera: Circaea, v. 10, p. 53–62.
- Harding, J.P., 1962, *Mungava munda* and four other new species of Ostracod crustaceans from fish stomachs, *in* Natural History of Rennell Island, British Solomon Islands, Copenhagen, Danish Science Press, v. 4, p. 51–62.
- Hartmann, G., 1964, Asiatische Ostracoden: Systematische und zoogeographische Untersuchungen [Asian ostracods: systematic and zoogeographical studies]: Internationale Revue der Gesamten Hydrobiologie, Systematische Beihefte, v. 3, p. 1–155.
- Hartmann, G., 1965, Ostracoden des Sublitorals [Ostracods of the sublittorals], *in* Hartmann-Schröder, G., and Hartmann, G, eds., Zur Kenntnis des Sublitorals der chilenischen Küste unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Polychaeten und Ostracoden [Record of the Sublittorals off the Chilean Coast with Special Reference to the Polychaetes and Ostracods], Hamburg, Zoologischen Staatsintituts und Zoologischen Museums Hamburg, p. 307–384.
- Hoff, C.C., 1942, The ostracods of Illinois: Their biology and taxonomy: Illinois Biological Monographs, v. 19, nos. 1-2, 196 p., https://doi. org/10.5962/bhl.title.50126.
- Holsinger, J.R., and Longley, G., 1980, The Subterranean Amphipod Crustacean Fauna of an Artesian Well in Texas, Washington, Smithsonian Insitution Press, Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology, v. 308, 62 p., https://doi.org/10.5479/si.00810282.308.
- Hutchins, B.T., 2018, The conservation status of Texas groundwater invertebrates: Biodiversity and Conservation, v. 27, p. 475–501, https://doi. org/10.1007/s10531-017-1447-0.
- Hutchins, B.T., Schwartz, B.F., and Nowlin, W.H., 2014, Morphological and trophic specialization in a subterranean amphipod assemblage: Freshwater Biology, v. 59, p. 2447–2461, https://doi.org/10.1111/fwb.12440.
- Janz, H., 1997, Die Ostrakoden der kleini-Schichten des Miozänen Kratersees von Steinheim am Albuch (Süddeutschland) [The ostracods of the small strata of the Miocene crater lake of Steinheim am Albuch (southern Germany)]: Stuttgarter Beiträge zur Naturkunde, serie B, v. 251, p. 1–101.
- Jones, T.R., ed., 1885, On the Ostracoda of the Purbeck Formation with notes on the Wealden species: Quarterly Journal of the Geological Society of London, v. 41, p. 311–353, https://doi.org/10.1144/GSL.JGS.1885.041.01-04.31.
- Karanovic, I., 2012, Recent Freshwater Ostracods of the World: Crustacea, Ostracoda, Podocopida: Heidelberg, Springer, 608 p.
- Kaufmann, A., 1900, Cypriden und Darwinuliden der Schweiz [Cyprids and Darwinulids of Switzerland], *in* Bedot, M., ed., Revue Suisse de Zoologie, Généve, Societe Zoologique Suisse, vol. 8, p. 209-423.
- Keyser, D., 1975, Ostracoden aus den Mangrovegebieten von Südwest-Florida (Crustacea: Ostracoda, Podocopa) [Ostracoda from the mangrove areas of Southwest Florida (Crustacea: Ostracoda, Podocopa)]: Abhandlungen und Verhandlungen des Naturwissenschaftlichen Vereins in Hamburg, v. 18-19, p. 255–290.
- Keyser, D., 2005, Histological peculiarities of the noding process in *Cyprideis torosa* (Jones) (Crustacea, Ostracoda): Hydrobiologia, v. 538, p. 95–106, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-004-4940-x.
- Keyser, D., and Walter, R., 2004, Calcificación in ostracodes [Calcification in ostracodes]: Revista Española de Micropaleontología, v. 36, p. 1–11.
- Konovalova, V.A., 2019, The first find of *Vestalenula danielopoli* (Martens, Rossetti et Fuhrmann, 1997) (Crustacea, Ostracoda, Darwinulidae) in Holocene deposits of Western Siberia: Paleontological Journal, v. 53, p. 889–893, https://doi.org/10.1134/S0031030119090041.
- Külköylüoğlu, O., 1999, Taxonomy, ecology and biogeographic distribution of spring water Ostracoda (Crustacea) in Nevada [Ph.D. thesis]: University of Nevada, Reno, 228 p.
- Külköylüoğlu, O., 2004, On the usage of Ostracoda (Crustacea) as bioindicator species in the different aquatic habitats in the Bolu region, Turkey: Ecological Indicators, v. 4, p. 139–147, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2004.01.004.
- Külköylüoğlu, O., 2018, A new genus and species in the ostracod family Candonidae (Crustacea: Ostracoda) from Texas, USA: Journal of Natural History, v. 52, p. 1295–1310, https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2018.1456574.
- Külköylüoğlu, O., 2019, *Comalcandona gibsoni* sp. nov. (Ostracoda, Candonidae) from Comal Spring, Texas, USA: Turkish Journal of Zoology, v. 43, p. 265–276, https://doi.org/10.3906/zoo-1808-44.
- Külköylüoğlu, O., 2020, A new species of *Cavernocypris* (Ostracoda) from Texas (U.S.A.) with a taxonomic key: Journal of Species Research, v. 9, p. 122–130, https://doi.org/10.12651/JSR.2020.9.2.122.
- Külköylüoğlu, O., Gibson, R., Diaz, P.H., and Colin, J.-P., 2011, *Bicornucandona* gen. nov., sp. nov. (Crustacea, Ostracoda) from Finegan Springs (Texas, U.S.A.): Zootaxa, v. 3059, p. 47–58, https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3059.1.3.
- Külköylüoğlu, O., Hutchins, B.T., Yavuzatmaca, M., and Schwartz, B.F., 2021, Hyporheic ostracods (Crustacea, Ostracoda) from Texas (USA) with six new species: Zootaxa, v. 5046, p. 1–63, https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.5046.1.1.
- Külköylüoğlu, O., Akdemir, D., Yavuzatmaca, M., Schwartz, B.F., and Hutchins, B.T., 2017a, Rugosuscandona, a new genus of Candonidae (Crustacea: Ostracoda) groundwater habitats in Texas, North America: Species Diversity, v. 22, p. 175–185, https://doi.org/10.12782/specdiv.22.175.
- Külköylüoğlu, O., Akdemir, D., Yavuzatmaca, M., Schwartz, B.F., and Hutchins, B.T., 2017b, *Lacrimacandona* n. gen. (Crustacea: Ostracoda: Candonidae) from the Edwards Aquifer, Texas (USA): Zootaxa, v. 4277, p. 261–273, https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4277.2.6.
- Külköylüoğlu, O., Akdemir, D., Yavuzatmaca, M., Schwartz, B.F., and Hutchins, B.T., 2017c, *Cypria lacrima* sp. nov. a new Ostracoda (Candonidae, Crustacea) species from Texas, U.S.A.: Zoological Studies, v. 56, p. 1–10, https://www.doi.org/10.6620/ZS.2017.56-15.

- Külköylüoğlu, O., Yavuzatmaca, M., Akdemir, D., Diaz, P.H., and Gibson, R., 2017d, On *Schornikovdona* gen. nov. (Ostracoda, Candonidae) from rheocrene springs in Texas (U.S.A.): Crustaceana, v. 90, p. 1443–1461, https://doi.org/10.1163/15685403-00003707.
- Külköylüoğlu, O., Yavuzatmaca, M., Akdemir, D., Schwartz, B.F., and Hutchins, B.T., 2017e, *Ufocandona hannaleeae* gen. et sp. nov. (Crustacea, Ostracoda) from an artesian well in Texas, USA: European Journal of Taxonomy, v. 372, p. 1–18, https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2017.372.
- Külköylüoğlu, O., Yavuzatmaca, M., Akdemir, D., Schwartz, B.F., and Hutchins, B.T., 2019, Description of a new tribe Cabralcandonini (Candonidae, Ostracoda) from karst aquifers in central Texas, U.S.A.: Journal of Cave and Karst Studies, v. 81, p. 136–151, https://doi. org/10.4311/2019LSC0101.
- Latreille, P.A., 1802, Histoire Naturelle, Générale et Particulière des Crustacés et des Insectes (Natural, General and Particular History of Crustaceans and Insects), Paris, L'Imprimerie de F. Dufart, v. 4, p. 232–254, https://doi.org/10.5962/bhl.title.15764.
- Ligios, S., Minati, K. Gliozzi, E., and Krstic, N., 2009, Occurrence of *Vestalenula* (Darwinuloidea, Ostracoda) in the Neogene of Italy, Crete and Serbia, with the description of three new species: Journal of Micropalaeontology, v. 28, p. 99–115, https://doi.org/10.1144/jm.28.2.99.
- Löffler, H., 1961, Beitrag zur copepoden und ostracodenfauna Chiles [Contribution to the copepod and ostracod fauna of Chile]: Anzeiger der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften: Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Klasse, v. 9, p. 1–7.
- Martens, K., 1987, Homology and functional morphology of the sexual dimorphism in the antenna of *Sclerocypris* Sars, 1924 (Crustacea, Ostracoda, Megalocypridinae): Bijdragen tot de Dierkunde, v. 57, p. 183–190, https://doi.org/10.1163/26660644-05702003.
- Martens, K., and Rossetti, G., 2002, On the Darwinulidae (Crustacea, Ostracoda) from Oceania: Invertebrate Systematics, v. 16, p. 195–208, https://doi.org/10.1071/IT01022.
- Martens, K., Rossetti, G., and Fuhrmann, R., 1997, Pleistocene and Recent species of the famly Darwinulidae Brady and Norman, 1889 (Crustacea, Ostracoda) in Europe: Hydrobiologia, v. 357, p. 99–116, https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003130702375.
- Martens, K., Rossetti, G., Butlin, R.K., and Schön, I., 2005, Molecular and morphological phylogeny of the ancient asexual Darwinulidae (Crustacea, Ostracoda): Hydrobiologia, v. 538, p. 153–165, https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00021867.
- Meisch, C., 2000, Crustacea, Ostracoda: Heidelberg, Spektrum Akad Verlag, Süßwasserfauna von Mitteleuropa series, v. 08/3, 522 p.
- Meisch, C., Smith, R.J., and Martens, K., 2019, A subjective global checklist of the extant non-marine Ostracoda (Crustacea): European Journal of Taxonomy, v. 492, p. 1–135, https://doi.org/10.5852/ejt.2019.492.
- Menzel, R., 1917, Moosbewohnende Harpacticiden und Ostracoden aus Ost-Afrika [Moss-dwelling harpacticids and ostracods from East Africa]: Archiv fur Hydrobiologie und Planktonkunde, v. 11, p. 478–489.
- Minati, K., Cabral, M.C., Pipík, R., Danielopol, D.L., Linhart, J., and Neubauer, W., 2008, Morphological variability among European populations of Vestalenula cylindrica (Straub) (Crustacea, Ostracoda): Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, v. 264, p. 296–305, https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2007.05.027.
- Nagler, C., Geist, J., and Matzke-Karasz, R., 2014, Revision of the genus *Tanycypris* (Ostracoda, Cypricercinae) with the description of *Tanycypris alfonsi* n. sp., and an identification key to the genus: Zootaxa, v. 3821, p. 401–424, https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3821.4.1.
- Nissen, B.D., Devitt, T.J., Bendik, N.F., Gluesenkamp, A.G., and Gibson, R., 2018, New occurrence records for stygobiontic invertebrates from the Edwards and Trinity Aquifers in west-central Texas, USA: Subterranean Biology, v. 28, p. 1–13, https://doi.org/10.3897/subtbiol.28.29282.
- Pinto, R.L., Rocha, C.E.F., and Martens, K., 2004, On the genus *Penthesilenula* Rossetti and Martens, 1998 (Crustacea, Ostracoda, Darwinulidae) from (semi-) terrestrial habitats in São Paulo State (Brazil), with the description of a new species: Journal of Natural History, v. 38, p. 2567–2589, https://doi.org/10.1080/00222930310001647424.
- Pinto, R.L., Rocha, C.E.F., and Martens, K., 2005, On the evolution of the genus *Microdarwinula* Danielopol, 1968 (Ostracoda, Darwinulidae) with the description of a new species from semi-terrestrial habitats in São Paulo State (Brazil): Crustaceana, v. 78, p. 975–986, https://doi. org/10.1163/156854005775197244.
- Pinto, R.L., Rocha, C.E.F., Rossetti, G., and Martens, K., 2013, Contribution to the knowledge of the genus Vestalenula Rossetti and Martens, 1998 (Crustacea, Ostracoda, Darwinulidae), with the description of a new species, V. carinata n. sp., from the island of Florianópolis, Brazil: Zootaxa, v. 3666, p. 62–72, https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.3666.1.6.
- Pipík, R., and Bodergat, A.-M., 2004, Upper Miocene Cyprididae (Ostracoda) of the Turiec Basin (Slovakia): Taxonomy and Paleoecology: Revue de Micropaléontologie, v. 47, p. 225–242, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.revmic.2004.10.001.
- Ponder, W.F., 2004, Endemic aquatic macroinvertebrates of artesian springs of the Great Artesian Basin—progress and future directions: Records of the South Australian Museum Monograph Series, no. 7, p. 101–110.
- Reddell, J.R., and Cokendolpher, J.C., 2004, The cave spiders (Araneae) of Bexar and Comal Counties, Texas: Texas Memorial Museum Speleological Monograph no. 6, p. 75–94, https://www.edwardsaquifer.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/2004\_ReddellCokendolpher\_CaveSpiders. pdf.
- Reddell, J.R., and Reyes, M., 2010, "Preliminary report on collections of aquatic invertebrate fauna from caves and springs on Fort Hood" [unpublished report], US Army Natural Resources Division, Fort Cavazos, Texas.
- Rossetti, G., and Martens, K., 1998, Taxonomic revision of the Recent and Holocene representatives of the family Darwinulidae (Crustacea, Ostracoda), with a description of three new genera: Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique: Biologie, v. 68, p. 55–110, https://biblio.naturalsciences.be/rbins-publications/bulletin-of-the-royal-belgian-institute-of-natural-sciences-biologie/68-1998/bio-logie-1998-68 55-110.pdf.
- Rossetti, G., and Martens, K., 1999, Contribution to taxonomy and distribution of the genus Vestalenula Rossetti and Martens, 1998 (Crustacea, Ostracoda, Darwinulidae), with the description of two new species: Bulletin de l'Institut Royal des Sciences Naturelles de Belgique: Biologie, v. 69, p. 57–66, https://biblio.naturalsciences.be/rbins-publications/bulletin-of-the-royal-belgian-institute-of-natural-sciences-biologie/69-1999/ biologie-1999-69\_57-66.pdf.
- Rossetti, G., Eager, S.H., and Martens, K., 1998, On two new species of the genus *Darwinula* (Crustacea, Ostracoda) from New Zealand: Italian Journal of Zoology, v. 65, p. 325–332, https://doi.org/10.1080/11250008809386766.
- Rossetti, G., Martens, K., and Mourguiart, P., 1996, On *Darwinula incae* Delachaux: A Stereo-Atlas of Ostracod Shells, v. 23, p. 35–40, https:// archive.org/details/stereoatlasofost23athe.
- Rossetti, G., Pinto, R.L., and Martens, K., 2011, Description of a new genus and two new species of Darwinulidae (Crustacea, Ostracoda), from Christmas Island (Indian Ocean) with some considerations on the morphological evolution of ancient asexuals: Belgian Journal of Zoology, v. 141, p. 55–74, http://files.belgianjournalofzoology.eu/download/BJZ\_141\_2\_colour.pdf.
- Sars, G.O., 1866, Oversigt af Norges marine Ostracoder (Overview of Norway's marine ostracods): Norske Videnskaps-Selskabet i Christiania aar 1865, Oslo, Norske Videnskaps-Akademi, p. 1–130.
- Savatenalinton, S., and Suttajit, M., 2016, A checklist of Recent non-marine ostracods (Crustacea: Ostracoda) from Thailand, including descriptions of two new species: Zootaxa, v. 4067, p. 1–34, https://doi.org/10.11646/zootaxa.4067.1.1.

- Schäfer, P., 2005, Beiträge zur Ostracoden- und Foraminiferen-Fauna der Unteren Süßwassermolasse in der Schweiz und in Savoyen (Frankreich): 2: La Chaux (Kanton Waadt, Schweiz) [Contributions to the ostracod and foraminifera fauna of the Lower Freshwater Molasse in Switzerland and Savoy (France): 2: La Chaux (Vaud Canton, Switzerland)]: Senckenbergiana lethaea, v. 85, p. 95–117, https://doi.org/10.1007/ BF03043421.
- Schäfer, P., 2008, Beiträge zur Ostracoden-Fauna der tertiären Sedimente aus der Doline Oberleichtersbach (Ober-Oligozän, Rhön): 1: Darwinulidae und Ilyocyprididae [Contributions to the ostracod fauna of the Tertiary sediments from the Oberleichtersbach Doline (upper Oligocene, Rhön): 1: Darwinulidae and Ilyocyprididae]: Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg, v. 260, p. 139–159, https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/267448514\_Beitrage\_zur\_Ostracoden-Fauna\_der\_tertiaren\_Sedimente\_aus\_der\_Doline\_Oberleichtersbach\_Ober-Oligozan\_ Rhon\_1\_Darwinulidae\_und\_Ilyocyprididae.
- Siveter, D.J., 2008, Ostracods in the Palaeozoic? Senckenbergiana lethaea, v. 88, p. 1–9, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03043973.
- Smith, A.J., and Delorme, L.D., 2010, Ostracoda, *in* Thorp, J.H., and Covich., A., eds., Ecology and Classification of North American Freshwater Invertebrates: Cambridge, Mass., Academic Press, 3rd ed., p. 725–771, https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-374855-3.00019-4.
- Smith, R.J., and Janz, H., 2009, Recent ostracods of the superfamilies Cytheroidea and Darwinuloidea (Crustacea) from Lake Biwa, a Japanese ancient lake: Species Diversity, v. 14, p. 217–241, https://doi.org/10.12782/specdiv.14.217.
- Smith, R.J., and Kamiya, T., 2006, Six new species of fresh and brackish water ostracods (Crustacea) from Yakushima, Southern Japan: Hydrobiologia, v. 559, p. 331–355, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-005-0946-2.
- Smith, R.J., and Kamiya, T. 2008, The ontogeny of two species of Darwinuloidea (Ostracoda, Crustacea). Zoologischer Anzeiger, v. 247, p. 275–302, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcz.2008.05.002.
- Smith, R.J., Lee, J., and Chang, C.Y., 2015, Nonmarine Ostracoda (Crustacea) from Jeju Island, South Korea, including descriptions of two new species: Journal of Natural History, v. 49, p. 37–76, https://doi.org/10.1080/00222933.2014.946110.
- Straub, E.B., 1952, Mikropaläontologische untersuchungen im Tertiär zwischen Ehingen und Ulm a. d. Donau [Micropaleontological investigations in the Tertiary between Ehingen and Ulm on the Danube]: Geologisches Jahrbuch, v. 66, p. 433–523.
- Taylor, L.C., 1992, The response of spring-dwelling ostracodes to intra-regional differences in groundwater chemistry associated with road salinity practices in southern Ontario: A test using an urban-rural transect [M.Sc. thesis], University of Toronto, 222 p.
- Triebel, E., 1961, Süßwasser-Ostracoden von den Karibischen Inseln: 1: Cypridini [Freshwater Ostracods from the Caribbean Islands: 1: Cypridini], Senckenbergiana Biologica, v. 42, p. 51–74.
- Tuncer, A., 2020, Ostracoda taxonomy and biostratigraphy in the Yalvaç and Ilgın continental Neogene basins (southwest Anatolia): Ostracoda-based paleoenvironmental and paleoelimatic approaches [Ph.D. thesis], Hacettepe University, 526 p.
- Tunoğlu, C., Besbelli, B., and Ertekin, İ.K., 2012, Ostracoda (Crustacea) association and a new species (*Dolerocypris anatolia* nov. sp.) from the Pliocene-Pleistocene Afşin-Elbistan (Kahraman Maraş) Coal Basin of Turkey: Geologica Carpathica, v. 63, p. 165–174, https://doi. org/10.2478/v10096-012-0013-7.
- Van Doninck, K., Schön, I., Maes, F., De Bruyn, L., and Martens, K., 2003, Ecological strategies in the ancient asexual animal group Darwinulidae (Crustacea, Ostracoda): Freshwater Biology, v. 48, p. 1285-1294, https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2427.2003.01078.x.
- Williams, M., Siveter, D.J., Salas, M.J., Vannier, J., Popov, L.E., and Pour, M.G., 2008, The earliest ostracods: The geological evidence: Senckenbergiana lethaea, v. 88, p. 11–21, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03043974.
- Wise, C.D., 1961, Taxonomy and ecology of freshwater ostracods of south-central Texas [Ph.D. thesis], University of New Mexico, 334 leaves.
- Yavuzatmaca, M., and Külköylüoğlu, O., 2019, Fossil and Recent distribution and ecology of ancient asexual ostracod *Darwinula stevensoni* (Ostracoda, Crustacea) in Turkey: Journal of Limnology and Freshwater Fisheries Research, v. 5, p. 47–59, https://doi.org/10.17216/limno-fish.455722.
- Yavuzatmaca, M., Külköylüoğlu, O., and Yılmaz, O., 2015, Distributional patterns of non-marine Ostracoda (Crustacea) in Adiyaman Province (Turkey): Annales de Limnologie—International Journal of Limnology, v. 51, p. 101–113, https://doi.org/10.1051/limn/2015005.

# APPENDIX

Appendix 1.																															
Taxa/Site no	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
Cavernocypris reddelli	+	+			+	+	+	+		+		+	+	+		+	+	+	+	+	+					+	+				
Cavernocypris wardi																															
Chlamydotheca texasiensis															+													+			
Cyclocypris dalyana					+		+			+										+			+								
Cypridopsis cf. elongata																							+			+					
Cypridopsis cf. herpestica									+																						
Cypridopsis vidua			+								+					+								+	+						
Darwinula stevensoni			+									+		+								+		+	+						
Dolerocypris reyesi n.sp.																							+								
Herpetocypris intermedia																								+	+						
Heterocypris incongruens																														+	+
llyocypris gibba																															
Microdarwinula zimmeri			+																												
Penthesilenula aotearoa																															
Penthesilenula incae			+																												
Physocypria denticulata																															
Physocypria exquisita																															
Physocypria gibbera																															
Pseudocandona cf. albicans				+												+													+		
Pseudocandona jeanneli											+																				
Pseudocandona cf. parallela																															
Pseudocandona cf. pratensis	+																			+							+				
Pseudocandona semicognita																															
Pseudocandona stagnalis									+				+										+			+					
Schornikovdona bellensis																															
Typhlocypris cf. prespica																															
Vestalenula marmonieri														+																	

# Appendix 1. (Contined)

Таха	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47	48	49	50	51	52	53	54	55	56	57	58	59	60	61	62
Cavernocypris reddelli										+		+		+	+	+	+	+	+	+		+				+					+
Cavernocypris wardi													+																		
Chlamydotheca texasiensis																															
Cyclocypris dalyana													+	+	+						+								+		+
Cypridopsis cf. elongata																															+
Cypridopsis cf. herpestica																					+										
Cypridopsis vidua							+												+	+				+						+	
Darwinula stevensoni					+	+											+			+											
Dolerocypris reyesi n. sp.																															
Herpetocypris intermedia																															
Heterocypris incongruens																									+						
llyocypris gibba																											+				
Microdarwinula zimmeri																	+														
Penthesilenula aotearoa																	+														
Penthesilenula incae																															
Physocypria denticulata	+	+																													
Physocypria exquisita									+																						
Physocypria gibbera				+																											
Pseudocandona cf. albicans					+	+									+						+										
Pseudocandona jeanneli																															
Pseudocandona cf. parallela																+															
Pseudocandona cf. pratensis																															
Pseudocandona semicognita											+						+		+	+											
Pseudocandona stagnalis								+															+								
Schornikovdona bellensis			+																												
Typhlocypris cf. prespica																												+			
Vestalenula marmonieri																															

#### Appendix 2.

Site No	Site name	County	Date	Cat. No.	Coordinates							
1	1913 Rockshelter Spring (F 36-9), Fort Hood	Bell	01.04.2010	72.558	31.1078392; -97.5519471							
2	Amphitheater Spring (F 20-84), Fort Hood	Bell	25.07.2007	58.381	31.2352512; -97.5745017							
3	Asellid Spring (F 22-213), Fort Hood	Bell	30.07.2007	58.413	31.2102550; -97.5853629							
4	Banzai Mud Dauber Cave, Camp Bullis	Bexar	04.06.2007	56.606	29.6485451; -98.5316278							
5	Bill's Spring. Fort Hood.	Bell	05.04.2010	71.219	31.1900247; -97.5406016							
6	Bill's Spring. Fort Hood.	Bell	30.03.2010	71.233	31.1900247; -97.5406016							
7	Bill's Spring. Fort Hood.	Bell	13.04.2010	72.577	31.1900247; -97.5406016							
8	BLORA Shelter Spring (F BLORA-4), Fort Hood	Bell	14.03.2010	70.910	31.1380789; -97.5598995							
9	BLORA Shelter Spring (F BLORA-4), Fort Hood	Bell	02.10.2007	61.545	31.1380789; -97.5598995							
10	Bullhead Spring, (F 23-371) Fort Hood	Bell	05.04.2010	70.949	31.1902920; -97.5434939							
11	Camp Bullis Cave No:1, Camp Bullis	Comal	20.12.2000	36.871	29.7471700; -98.6110970							
12	Copperhead Spring Cave (F 35-1), Fort Hood	Bell	25.07.2007	58.099	31.1264089; -97.6045419							
13	Copperhead Spring Cave (F 35-1), Fort Hood	Bell	02.10.2007	61.533	31.1264089; -97.6045419							
14	Cute Chick Spring, Fort Hood	Bell	31.03.2010	71.214	31.1944975; -97.5875553							
15	Dandridge Spring Cave	Val Verde	22.05.2002	33.324	29.8041843; -101.0059391							
16	Dripping Harvestman Spring (F 22-84), Fort Hood	Bell	30.07.2007	61.557	31.208032997.5818377							
17	El Sapo Cave, Fort Hood.	Bell	30.03.2010	71.008	31.1671955; -97.5314778							
18	Faucet Spring, Fort Hood	Bell	17.03.2010	70.938	31.1453204; -97.5644893							
19	Faucet Spring, Fort Hood	Bell	14.03.2010	70.990	31.1453204; -97.5644893							
20	Fern Spring (F 22-290), Fort Hood	Bell	06.04.2010	71.194	31.1947765; -97.5709110							
21	Geocache Cave (F 33-16), Fort Hood	Bell	30.07.2007	58.404	31.1564841; -97.6268805							
22	Geocache Cave (F 33-16), Fort Hood.	Bell	30.07.2007	58.409	31.1564841; -97.6268805							
23	Hidden Rockshelter (F 35-11), Fort Hood	Bell	16.03.2010	72.550	31.1330307; -97.5987080							
24	Homestead Spring No.1, Fort Hood	Bell	13.05.2012	80.274	31.1224868; -97.5808046							
25	Homestead Spring No.1, Fort Hood	Bell	30.04.2012	80.303	31.1224868; -97.5808046							
26	Homestead Spring No.2, Fort Hood	Bell	13.05.2012	80.290	31.1225769; -97.5807928							
27	Jelly Bean Spring (8A-1), Camp Bullis	Bexar	17.04.2001	36.863	29.6445270; -98.5924620							
28	La Cantera Cave No. 2, Larson's Pit, 5mi.	Bexar	26.09.1995	31.436	29.5910300; -98.6103600							
29	Little Hunt Spring	Hays	06.10.2016	Zara 9403	30.1240600; -97.8630200							
30	Mixmaster Cave Fort Hood	Coryell	05.11.1998	31.635	31.2206066; -97.6163974							
31	Mixmaster Cave Fort Hood	Coryell	05.11.1998	31.637	31.2206066; -97.6163974							
32	Mormon Spring No. 3	Travis	23.01.2017		30.3131901; -97.7747410							
33	Mormon Spring No. 3	Travis	07.02.2017		30.3131901; -97.7747410							
34	Nolan Creek Cave (F 33-2), Fort Hood	Bell	12.05.2010	72.538	31.1516532; -97.6349607							
35	PC Spring	Williamson	27.09.1999	55.445	30.4798590; -97.7419449							
36	Perch Jerk Spring, Fort Hood	Bell	21.05.2012	80.250	31.1677431; -97.6481702							
37	Perch Jerk Spring, Fort Hood	Bell	27.05.2012	80.261	31.1677431; -97.6481702							
38	Phantom Lake Spring Cave	Jeff Davis	08.04.1995	55.443	30.9349390; -103.8496738							
39	Pipe Spring (F 22-106), Fort Hood	Bell	02.10.2007	61.549	31.1889335; -97.5614702							
40	Pozo La Pilita, Rancho Azufrosa, Tamaulipas, Mexico	**	05.01.2003	35.565	22.9940667; -98.1586689							

# Appendix 2. (Contined)

41	Rimstone Dam Rockshelter Lower Spring, Fort Hood	Bell	16.04.2012	80.242	31.1907026; -97.5599322
42	Shoal Creek. Spring No.4.	Travis	27.02.2017	Zara-9497	30.2963600; -97.7487430
43	Spicewood Creek Pipe Spring (F 115-140), Fort Hood	Bell	11.03.2010	70.984	31.1741240; -97.5409924
44	Spring (22-337), Fort Hood	Bell	16.04.2012	80.232	31.2001485; -97.5721002
45	Spring (F 23-378), Fort Hood.	Bell	05.04.2010	71.022	31.1901247; -97.5414711
46	Spring (F BLORA-17), Fort Hood	Bell	17.03.2010	70.924	31.1414030; -97.5707997
47	Spring (F BLORA-17), Fort Hood	Bell	14.03.2010	70.999	31.1414030; -97.5707997
48	Spring 22-293, Fort Hood	Bell	06.04.2010	72.592	31.2001485; -97.5721002
49	Spring 35-14, Fort Hood	Bell	20.10.2010	72.824	31.1258286; -97.5968003
50	Spring 4C-18 (middle), Camp Bullis	Bexar	18.04.2001	36.833	29.6827330; -98.5733940
51	Spring 4C-18 (south), Camp Bullis	Bexar	18.04.2001	36.855	29.6827240; -98.5733840
52	Spring 4C-27, Camp Bullis	Bexar	18.04.2001	36.826	29.6884370; -98.5679330
53	Spring 4C-27, Camp Bullis	Bexar	31.05.2007	56.674	29.6884370; -98.5679330
54	Spring 7-49, Camp Bullis	Bexar	14.04.2001	36.955	29.6769940; -98.6148921
55	Spring 7-51, Camp Bullis	Bexar	17.04.2001	36.862	29.6693700; -98.6063330
56	Spring 7-51, Camp Bullis	Bexar	04.06.2007	56.705	29.6693700; -98.6063330
57	Spring 8A-1, Camp Bullis.	Bexar	31.05.2007	56.719	29.6445270; -98.5924620
58	Spring 9-154, Camp Bullis	Bexar	31.05.2007	56.741	29.6674497; -98.5503310
59	Spring 9-156, Camp Bullis	Bexar	31.05.2007	56.672	29.6726491; -98.5558460
60	Stampede Creek Upper Spring (F 53-32), Fort Hood	Coryell	06.04.2010	75.275	31.3072276; -97.8290450
61	Stealth Cave, Camp Bullis	Bexar	20.12.2000	72.733	29.6606570; -98.5992170
62	Waterfall Spring, Fort Hood	Bell	16.04.2012	80.218	31.1953142; -97.5633040



Appendix 3.

#### Appendix 4.

No	Characters with character states
1	Lateral view: sloping (0), sub-squarish (1) or rounded (2)
2	L/R (0) or R/L (1) overlap
3	Postero-ventral keel on RV: absent (0), short (1) or long (2)
4	Ventro-frontal internal tooth in LV: long (0), short (1) or absent (2)
5	Posterior internal tooth (mostly in LV) in ventral position: present (0) or absent (1)
6	Posterior internal tooth (mostly in LV) in caudal position: present (0) or absent (1)
7	Hinge: adont (0), with simple large cardinal teeth (1) or subdivided large cardinal teeth (2)
8	Size: >0.6 mm (0) or ≤0.6 mm (1)
9	Le/H ratio: >2.2 (0), between 1.8 and 2.2 (1) or < 1.8
10	Brooding space: externally visible (0) or not (1)
11	Position of Cms: towards the front (0) or central (1)
12	Muscle scars: average number of scars >8 (0) or =<8 (1)
13	A1, first segment: with 2 (0) or 1 (1) dorsal seta(e)
14	A1, "exopodite": with 3 (0) or 2 (1) setae
15	A1, 2nd segment: with (0) or without (1) dorso-apical seta
16	A1, third segment: with (0) or without (1) ventro-apical seta
17	A1, fourth segment: with (0) or without (1) ventro-apical seta
18	A1, fourth segment: with 2 (s2 s3) (0) or 1 (1) large dorsal seta(e)
19	A2, exopodite: with 2 (0) or 1 (1) seta(e) (+spine)
20	A2, first segment of endopodite: with 2 (0) or 1 (1) ventro-apical seta(e)
21	Md-palp, penultimate segment: seta z long (0) or short (1)
22	Md-palp, penultimate segment: seta y long (0), short (1) or absent (2)
23	Md-palp, last segment: «poil stevensoni» present (0) or absent (1)
24	Md-palp, last segment: number of apical claws: 5 (0), 4 (1) or 3 (2
25	Md-palp, last segment: seta a claw-like (0), spine-like (1), absent (2
26	Md-palp, last segment: seta c present (0) or absent (1)
27	T1, penultimate palp segment: number of seta(e): 2 (0) or 1 (1)
28	CR: number of seta(e): 2 (0), 1 (1) or absent (2)
29	P-abd: with projections (0), smooth (1) or absent (2)
30	Caudal seta: present (0) or absent (1)

### Appendix 5.

										Мо	orph	olo	gica	l Cł	nara	cte	risti	ic												
Таха	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
A. furcabdominis	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	0	1
A. inversa	0	1	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	2	2	0	1	1	0	1
A. serricaudata	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	*	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	1	2	2	0	1	1	0	1
D. stevensoni	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	2	1	1
I. humphreysi	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	1
M. inexpectata	*	0	2	1	0	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	1
M. zimmeri	2	0	2	1	0	1	1	1	*	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	1
P. aotearoa	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	*	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	1
P. araucana	1	1	?	?	?	?	?	1	1	0	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	?	0	2	0
P. brasiliensis	1	0	2	*	0	1	0	1	*	0	0	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	*	2	1
P. incae	1	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	1
P. kohanga	*	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	1
P. malayica	1	0	?	?	?	?	?	1	1	0	0	1	?	?	0	?	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	?	1	?	0	0	0
P. reidae	*	0	2	1	0	1	0	1	*	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	1
P. setosa	*	0	?	?	?	?	?	1	1	?	0	0	?	?	?	?	?	?	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	?	?	2	?
P. sphanga	1	0	?	?	?	?	?	1	2	1	0?	0	?	?	?	?	?	?	0	0	0	0	?1	0	0	0	?	?	2	?
V. boteai	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	1	1
V. botocuda	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
V. carinata	2	0	2	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	*	0	2	0	1	1	2	1
V. carveli	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	1	1
V. cornelia	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	*	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	1	1
V. cuneata	1	0	?	?	?	?	?	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	2	0
V. cylindrica	0	0	2	2	1	1	?	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2	1?	0	2	0	1	2	0	0
V. danielopoli	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
V. daps	1	0	1	?	?	?	?	0	1	0	?	?	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	2	2	1
V. flexuosa	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
V. inconspicua	1	0	?	?	?	?	?	1	1	0	0	1	?	?	?	?	?	?	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	?	2	?	?
V. irajai	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	1
V. lundi	1	0	?	?	1	1	0	1	0	0	?	?	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	*	2	*
V. marlieri	0	0	?	?	?	?	0	1	0	0	?	?	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	*	2	*
V. marmonieri	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	1	1
V. matildae	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	*	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	1	0
V. molopoensis	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	1	1
V. pagliolii	*	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	*	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	0	1
<i>V.</i> sp. <i>D</i>	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	?	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	1	1
<i>V.</i> sp. <i>E</i>	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0	2	0	1	1	2	1